UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
. 09/891,367	06/27/2001	Masakatsu Shimizu	0505-0840P	2385	
2292 7590 05/14/2007 BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747			EXAMINER RUDY, ANDREW J		
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3627	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/14/2007	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/891,367	SHIMIZU ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Andrew Joseph Rudy	3627	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
WHIC - External after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANS INSTRUCTION OF THE MAILING DANS IN (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. On period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed the mailing date of this communication.	
Status			•	
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 Ju</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro	*	
Dispositi	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ <b>Applicati</b> 9)□ 10)□	Claim(s) 1-9,15,16 and 21-27 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-9,15,16 and 21-27 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers  The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the construction of the constr	election requirement.  epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some colon None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Attachment	(/e)			
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Dai 5) Notice of Informal Pa	e	

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-9, 15, 16 and 21-27 are pending. The previous rejection is withdrawn pursuant to Applicant's November 30, 2006 REMARKS.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. Claim s 1-9, 15, 16 and 21-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Evans et al., US 6,775,647.

Evans discloses, e.g. Figs. 1-23, an apparatus for estimating manufacturing cost for a product, e.g. 16, an input device, e.g. keyboard, a random access memory, e.g. col. 3, lines 33-51, RAM or ROM, and a display device, e.g. a computer, and at least two external suppliers, e.g. manufacturers. Evans does not explicitly disclose a processor. Official Notice is taken that computer apparatus comprising processors adapted to calculate cost have been common knowledge in the computer processing art. To have provided such for Evans would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. It is noted that the Evans apparatus is deemed able to carry out all of Applicant's intended use claim language, e.g. claim 1, lines 1, 5 "for estimating" and "adapted to calculate." It is noted that intended use claim language in juxtaposition to positively recited claim language, e.g. claim 1, line 1, "An apparatus," merits little, if any, patentable weight. Applicant's July 28, 2006 REMARKS have been reviewed, but are moot in light of the new grounds of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/891,367

Art Unit: 3627

Page 3

3. Further pertinent references of interest are noted on the attached PTO-892.

## Conclusion

4. Applicant's July 28, 2006 amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Joseph Rudy whose telephone number is 571-272-6789. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday.

Art Unit: 3627

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ryan M. Zeender can be reached on 571-272-6790. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Andrew Joseph Rudy Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3627